THE SOURCE ON HEALTHCARE PRICE & COMPETITION



STATE EFFORTS TO ADDRESS HEALTH CARE CONSOLIDATION AND COSTS

September 24, 2021

Katherine L. Gudiksen, Ph.D., M.S.

COST OF HEALTH CARE INCREASED MUCH FASTER THAN INFLATION



"PRICES ARE THE **PRIMARY** REASON WHY US SPENDS MORE ON HEALTH CARE THAN ANY OTHER COUNTRY"

GERARD F. ANDERSON HEALTH AFFAIRS 38:1 (2019)

Growth in Health Care Spending per Person (2018 dollars)



Source: Health Care Cost Institute, 2018 HEALTH CARE COST AND UTILIZATION REPORT, https://healthcostinstitute.org/images/pdfs/HCCI 2018 Health Care Cost and Utilization Report.pdf.



Source: Institute of Medicine, Best Care at Lower Cost: The Path to Continuously Learning Health Care in America (2013) updated to 2019 dollars with the Federal Reserve Bank Consumer Price Index Inflation Calculator.

WHAT IF THE PRICE OF FOOD INCREASED LIKE THE PRICE OF HEALTH CARE?

WHY ARE U.S. HEALTHCARE PRICES SO HIGH?

 Failure to protect to competition and rigorously enforce antitrust laws

 Failure of policymakers to act when competition no longer restrains prices

Table 1

Litigated Hospital Merger Cases

	0	1 0		
	Year	Case	Location	Blocked?
1	1989	United States v. Rockford Mem. Hosp., 717 F. Supp. 1251 (N.D. Ill. 1989), aff'd, 898 F.2d 1278 (7th Cir.).	Rockford, IL	Yes
2	1991	FTC v. Univ. Health, 1991-1 Trade Cases ¶ 69,400 (S.D. Ga.) and 1991-1 Trade Cases ¶ 69,444 (S.D. Ga.) <i>rev'd</i> , 938 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1991).	, Augusta, GA	Yes
3	1994	In re Adventist Health Sys. (Ukiah), 117 F.T.C. 224 (1994).	Ukiah, CA	No
4	1995	FTC v. Freeman Hosp., 911 F. Supp. 1213 (W.D. Mo. 1995), aff'd, 69 F.3d 260 (8th Cir. 1995).	Joplin, MO	No
5	1995	United States v. Mercy Health Serv., 902 F. Supp. 968 (N.D. Iowa 1995), vacated as moot, 107 F.3d 632 (8th Cir. 1997).	Dubuque, IA	No
6	1996	FTC v. Butterworth Health Corp., 946 F. Supp. 1285 (W.D. Mich. 1996), <i>aff'd per curiam</i> , No. 96-2440 (6th Cir. July 8, 1997).	Grand Rapids, MI	No
7	1997	United States v. Long Island Jewish Med. Ctr., 983 F. Supp. 121 (E.D.N.Y. 1997).	New Hyde Park, N	Y No
8	1998	FTC v. Tenet Healthcare Corp., 17 F. Supp. 2d 937 (E.D. Mo. 1998), rev'd 186 F.3d 1045 (8th Cir. 1999).	Poplar Bluff, MO	No
9	2000	California v. Sutter Health Sys., 84 F. Supp. 2d 1057 (N.D. Cal.), <i>aff'd mem.</i> , 2000-1 Trade Cas. (CCH) U 87,665 (9th Cir. 2000), <i>revised</i> , 130 F. Supp. 2d 1109 (N.D. Cal. 2001).	Oakland, CA	No
10	2004	In re Evanston Nw. Healthcare Corp., No. 9315 (F.T.C. Aug. 6, 2007).	Evanston, IL	N/A
11	2008	In re Inova Health Sys. Found., No. 9326 (F.T.C. May 8, 2008).	Manassas, VA A	bandoned
12	2011	In re ProMedica Health Sys., No. 12-3583 (6th Cir. Apr. 22, 2014).	Toledo, OH	Yes
13	2011	FTC v. Phoebe Putney Health Sys., No 11-12906 (11th Cir. Dec. 9, 2011), <i>rev'd</i> , No. 11-1160, slip op. (U.S. Feb. 19, 2013).	Albany, GA	No
14	2012	FTC v. OSF Healthcare Sys., No. 11 C 50344 (N.D. Ill. Apr. 5, 2012).	Rockford, IL	Yes

SOURCE: Author's review of hospital merger cases. Inova abandoned its proposed acquisition after the FTC filed suit to block the deal.

Source: Cory S. Capps, From Rockford to Joplin and back again: The Impact of Economics on Hospital Merger Enforcement, 59 The Antitrust Law Bulletin 443, 449 (2014).

FAILURE TO PROTECT COMPETITION AND RIGOROUSLY ENFORCE ANTITRUST LAWS

HEALTHCARE MERGER MANIA



DATA ON RESULTS FROM HEALTHCARE MERGERS



Horizontal Mergers

- Increased Prices: Post-merger hospital prices increased 20-44% (Dafny, 2009; Haas-Wilson & Garmon, 2011; Tenn, 2011; Gaynor & Town, 2012)
- Increased Premiums: Higher hospital concentration associated with higher ACA premiums (Boozary, et al., 2019)
- **Reduced Wage Growth:** Hospital mergers reduced wage growth by 6.3% for nurses and pharmacists

(Prager and Schmitt, 2019)

 Mixed to Negative on Quality: Hospital acquisition associated with modestly worse patient experiences, reduced quality, or no effect (Gaynor et al. 2013; Koch et al. 2018; Short and Ho, 2019; Beaulieu, Dafny, et al., 2020)

DATA ON RESULTS FROM HEALTHCARE MERGERS



Vertical Mergers

- Higher Physician Prices: Physician prices increase post-merger by an average of 14% Cardiologist prices increased by 33.5% (Capps, Dranove, & Ody, 2018)
 - Orthopedist prices increased by 12-20% (Koch and Ulrick, 2017)
- Higher Clinic Prices: Hospital-acquired clinic prices increased 32–47% within four years (Carlin, Feldman & Dowd, 2017)
- Higher Hospital Prices (Baker, Bundorf, Kessler, 2014)
- Increased Imaging and Lab Services (Whaley et al. 2021; Young et al. 2021)
- Little to no quality improvements (McWilliams et al. 2013; Neprash et al. 2015; Short and Ho, 2019)

DATA ON RESULTS FROM HEALTHCARE MERGERS

Cross-Market Mergers

 Increased Prices at Acquired Hospital: 7-17% increases in prices for hospitals purchased by out-of-market systems

(Lewis & Pflum, 2016; Dafny, Ho, & Lee 2019)

 Increased Prices at Acquiring Hospital: 7-9% increase after merging with a hospital in a different market in same state

(Schmitt M, 2018; Dafny, Ho, & Lee 2019)

- Increased Prices at Other Hospitals: Price increases by 7.8% in nearby rival hospitals (Lewis & Pflum, 2016)





HIGHER CONCENTRATION LEADS TO HIGHER PRICES



Source: Glenn A. Melnick, Katya Fonkych, and Jack Zwanziger, The California Competitive Model: How Has It Fared, And What's Next?, 37 Health Affairs 1417 (Sept. 2018)

STATE EFFORTS TO ADDRESS HEALTH CARE CONSOLIDATION AND COSTS, SEPTEMBER 24, 2021

Hospital Prices in California

WHAT CAN STATES DO TO PROTECT REMAINING COMPETITION?

- Antitrust enforcers should consider unwinding problematic mergers, but "unscrambling the egg" is very difficult
- Antitrust enforcers need additional tools to improve merger review and block harmful mergers

50-STATE SURVEY OF STATE LAWS ON HOSPITAL MERGER REVIEW



Source: https://sourceonhealthcare.org/market-consolidation/

No statutes

- General nonprofit notice and approval (not healthcare specific)
- Notice of limited provider group transactions with no review or approval.
- Notice, limited review, and no or limited approval of nonprofit healthcare or CON-eligible transactions
- Notice, moderate review, but no approval of nonprofit healthcare transactions
- Notice, moderate review, and approval of nonprofit healthcare or CON-eligible transactions
- Notice, strong review, and approval of nonprofit healthcare transactions
- Notice, strong review, and approval of all hospital transactions

STATE NOTICE REQUIREMENTS FOR PHYSICIAN PRACTICE ACQUISITIONS



No required notice

- Notice of general nonprofit mergers (not healthcare specific)
- Notice of limited provider group transactions
- Notice to CON program
- Notice of nonprofit healthcare mergers
- Notice of all hospital mergers
- Notice of all hospital and most provider group mergers

Source: https://sourceonhealthcare.org/market-consolidation/

STATE EFFORTS TO ADDRESS HEALTH CARE CONSOLIDATION AND COSTS, SEPTEMBER 24, 2021

IN MANY HEALTH CARE MARKETS...

STATE EFFORTS TO ADDRESS HEALTH CARE CONSOLIDATION AND COSTS, SEPTEMBER 24, 2021

FAILURE TO ACT WHEN COMPETITION BECOMES INSUFFICIENT

The Boston Blobe

A handshake that made healthcare history

Partners HealthCare was born in 1993, but its powerhouse potential didn't fully hit home until 2000. That's when the emerging giant cut a quiet deal with Blue Cross to ratchet up insurance costs across the state. Nothing in Massachusetts healthcare has been the same since.

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

Behind Your Rising Health-Care Bills: Secret Hospital Deals That Squelch Competition

Contracts with insurers allow hospitals to hide prices from consumers, add fees and discourage use of lessexpensive rivals

ANTICOMPETITIVE CONTRACT CLAUSES

- All-or-Nothing or Affiliate Contracting
- Anti-Tiering/Anti-Steering Clauses

ALL OR NOTHING CONTRACTING (AFFILIATE CONTRACTING)

 Health system demands an insurer include all facilities in the network





ANTI-TIERING AND ANTI-STEERING CLAUSES

 Agreements in which an insurer agrees to place all hospitals in a health system in the most favorable tier with the lowest cost-sharing tier



19

ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT SEEDS OTHER INTERVENTIONS

STATES WITH LAWS RESTRICTING USE OF SPECIFIC CONTRACT TERMS



All-or-nothing or Affiliate Contracting Restrictions



STATES WITH LAWS RESTRICTING USE OF SPECIFIC CONTRACT TERMS

Gag Clause or Price Secrecy Restrictions





STATE EFFORTS TO ADDRESS HEALTH CARE CONSOLIDATION AND COSTS, SEPTEMBER 24, 2021

COMPREHENSIVE REGULATORY OVERSIGHT

- Increased Agency Oversight
 - Review all proposed mergers of health care entities
 - Examine Access and Equity
 - Insurance Affordability Standards
- Provider Rate Regulation
 - Market-based caps
 - Inflationary caps
 - Out-of-network caps





PROVIDER MARKET POWER: A PROBLEM THAT IS NOT GOING AWAY

- Price increases are the result of failures to ensure price transparency, rigorously enforce antitrust laws, and intervene when competition failed.
- Increased merger review is critical to protect remaining competition
- States need multipronged approach to restricting anticompetitive contract practices by dominant health systems
 - Litigation
 - Legislation
 - Regulatory Oversight

THANK YOU!

Katherine L. Gudiksen, Ph.D., M.S. gudiksenkatherine@uchastings.edu

https://sourceonhealthcare.org/

THE SOURCE on healthcare price & competition

